

IMMINGHAM EASTERN RO-RO TERMINAL



Protective Provisions Tracker

Document Reference: 10.2.11

APFP Regulations 2009 – Regulation 5(2)(q)

PINS Reference – TR030007

January 2024

Document Information

Document Inform	Document Information			
Project	Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Ter	rminal		
Document Title	Protective Provisions Tracker			
Commissioned	Associated British Ports			
by				
Document ref	10.2.11			
APFP Reg 2009	Regulation 5(2)(q)			
Prepared by	IERRT Project Team			
Date	Version	Revision Details		
08/2023	01 Deadline 1	Submitted at Deadline 1		
09/2023	02 Deadline 2	Submitted at Deadline 2		
09/2023	03 Deadline 3	Submitted at Deadline 3		
10/2023	04 Deadline 4	Submitted at Deadline 4		
10/2023	05 Deadline 5	Submitted at Deadline 5		
11/2023	06 Deadline 6 Submitted at Deadline 6			
12/2023	07 Deadline 7 Submitted at Deadline 7			
01/2024	08 Deadline 8	Submitted at Deadline 8		
01/2024	09 Deadline 9	Submitted at Deadline 9		

Contents

1.	Introduction	. 4
2.	Protective Provision Position	. 5

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This tracker document is being provided pursuant to Question DCO1.23 from the Examining Authority (the 'ExA') for the Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal ('IERRT') Development Consent Order ('DCO') examination ('the Examination'). The ExA requires Associated British Ports as the applicant ('the Applicant') to prepare and submit an update as to the negotiation status of the Protective Provisions in the draft DCO at every deadline in the Examination timetable.
- 1.2 This document is intended to capture the progress in a single table which can then be used to track the completion of the Protective Provisions. This is intended as a live document which will be updated when updates or revisions to the Protective Provisions are made, and submitted at each Examination deadline as requested by the ExA.

2. Protective Provision Position

2.1 Table 1 below captures the Applicant's position on each party's Protective Provision, indicating whether a draft has been submitted to the Interested Party or is awaiting a response and providing an update as to whether any progress has been made in finalising the draft.

Interested Party	Position at Deadline 1	Position at Deadline 2	Position at Deadline 3	Position at Deadline 4	Position at Deadline 5
Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority for the River Humber	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority.	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority.	Protective Provision agreed.		Further amendments have been made at the request of the Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority, to which the Applicant has agreed. These appear in the latest version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5.
Environment Agency	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Environment Agency.	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Environment Agency.	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft in circulation between the parties.	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft in circulation between the parties.	The Applicant has agreed what it believes is the EA's last requested amendment and believes that the PP is now agreed. The dDCO submitted at

Table 1 – Status of Protective Provisions in draft DCO

					Deadline 5 includes the latest wording.
Exolum	Draft Protective Provisions issued to Exolum. At the time of writing, the Applicant is awaiting a response.	Draft Protective Provisions issued to Exolum. At the time of writing, the Applicant is awaiting a response.	The Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Exolum, which the Applicant is reviewing.	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft in circulation between the parties.	Protective Provision agreed. The dDCO submitted at Deadline 5 includes the latest wording.
Humber Oil Terminal Trustees Limited	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from HOTT. Significant differences between the parties to be discussed when HOTT's full case has been submitted to the Examination.	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from HOTT. Significant differences between the parties to be discussed when HOTT's full case has been submitted to the Examination.	The Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from HOTT. There are significant differences between the parties' positions. The Applicant is reviewing the draft protection provisions in light of HOTT's full case submitted at D2.	The Applicant is reviewing the draft protective provisions in light of the ongoing without prejudice negotiations with HOTT.	The Applicant is reviewing the draft protective provisions in light of the ongoing without prejudice negotiations with HOTT. The dDCO will be updated to reflect the agreed position following the conclusion of negotiations.
Northern Powergrid	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Northern Powergrid, which the Applicant is reviewing.	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Northern Powergrid, which the Applicant is reviewing.	The Applicant is in receipt of an updated late stage draft from Northern Powergrid, which the Applicant is reviewing.	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft in circulation between the parties.	Positive discussions are ongoing with a late stage draft in circulation between the parties. The dDCO submitted at Deadline 5 includes the latest wording.

Anglian Water	Positive discussions, with late stage draft circulated to Anglian Water.	Positive discussions, with late stage draft circulated to Anglian Water.	Positive discussions, with late stage draft circulated to Anglian Water.	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft (which reflects the outcomes of a call between the parties) now in circulation.	Positive discussions ongoing with the Applicant awaiting comments on a late stage draft (which reflects the outcomes of a call between the parties). The dDCO submitted at Deadline 5 includes the latest wording.
DB Cargo (UK) Limited	Awaiting completion of an alternative access agreement, following which the Applicant considers that these protective provisions will no longer be needed.	Awaiting completion of an alternative access agreement, following which the Applicant considers that these protective provisions will no longer be needed.	Awaiting completion of an alternative access agreement, following which the Applicant considers that these protective provisions will no longer be needed.	Alternative access agreement completed. Applicant awaiting confirmation that protective provisions are no longer required.	As a result of the alternative access agreement having been completed, the Applicant has removed this protective provision form the latest version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5. The Applicant has contacted DB Cargo twice for confirmation of their agreement to this and is awaiting a response.
Network Rail	Awaiting (i) completion of an	Awaiting (i) completion of an	Awaiting (i) completion of an	Network Rail solicitors seeking	Applicant is in receipt of an

	alternative access agreement with Network Rail and DB Cargo, and (ii) publication of scheme changes in order to progress draft further.	alternative access agreement with Network Rail and DB Cargo, and (ii) publication of scheme changes in order to progress draft further. Network Rail have provided an updated draft which the Applicant is reviewing.	alternative access agreement with Network Rail and DB Cargo, and (ii) publication of scheme changes in order to progress draft further. Network Rail have provided an updated draft which the Applicant is reviewing. Parties intend to meet in order to discuss matters prior to D4.	instructions following call.	updated draft from Network Rail, which the Applicant is reviewing. The draft received is reflected in the latest version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5.
North East Lincolnshire Council as Lead Local Flood Authority	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Council.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft to be shared with the Council.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Council.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Council.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Council.
Cadent Gas Limited	Cadent returned the draft to the Applicant with further comments immediately prior to Deadline 1.	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Cadent Gas Limited, which the Applicant is reviewing. The parties intend to meet in order to discuss outstanding areas of difference.	The Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Cadent Gas Limited, which the Applicant is reviewing. The parties intend to meet in order to discuss outstanding areas of difference.	Call between parties 25.09.23. Differences remain and a further call is proposed.	A further call between the parties is being arranged in order to discuss the draft further. The dDCO will be updated to reflect the agreed position following the conclusion of negotiations.

Operators of Electronic Communications Code Networks CLdN	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Operators. Applicant awaiting a first draft from CLdN.	Positive discussions with late stage draft to be shared with the Operators. Applicant is reviewing the list of topics which CLdN consider should be subject to protective provisions.	Positive discussions with late stage draft issued to the Operators. The Applicant has reviewed CLdN's comments on the scope of any protective provisions in CLdN's favour and the Applicant does not consider that such – or any – protective provisions are necessary. The Applicant has written to CLdN to this effect and	Positive discussions with late stage draft issued to the Operators. Applicant awaiting a response from CLdN.	Positive discussions with late stage draft issued to the Operators. The Applicant is considering CLdN's letter dated 9 October 2023 discussing protective provisions and will respond in due course.
			awaits a response.		
DFDS	Applicant awaiting a first draft from DFDS.	Applicant awaiting a first draft from DFDS.	The Applicant received a first draft from DFDS at D2, which it is reviewing.	The Applicant received a first draft from DFDS, which it is reviewing.	The Applicant received a first draft from DFDS, which it is reviewing.

Interested Party	Position at Deadline 6	Position at Deadline 7	Position at Deadline 8	Position at Deadline 9
Statutory	Further amendments	The Applicant is	The Applicant has	The Applicant has adopted
Conservancy	have been made at the	engaging in positive	amended the dDCO as	HMH's most recent
and Navigation	request of the Statutory	discussions with the	submitted at Deadline 8	amendments and believes
Authority for the	Conservancy and	Harbour Master,	in order to reflect the	the PP submitted at
River Humber	Navigation Authority, to	Humber regarding	draft Protective	Deadline 8 [REP8-005] to be
	which the Applicant	amendments to the	Provisions requested by	in its final form.
	has agreed. These	protective provisions,	the Harbour Master,	
	appear in the latest	and will review the	Humber as part of his	
	version of the dDCO	necessary amendments	Deadline 7 submissions	
	submitted at Deadline	following the Harbour	[REP7-061].	
	6.	Master's Deadline 7		
		submissions.		
Environment	Protective Provision			
Agency	Agreed.			
Exolum	Protective Provision			
	Agreed.			
Humber Oil	The Applicant is	The Applicant provided	The Applicant has	The Applicant has noted IOT
Terminal	reviewing the draft	a revised draft	provided a revised	Operators' comments on the
Trustees Limited	protective provisions in	protective provisions on	wording of this Protective	Protective Provisions
	light of the ongoing	29 November 2023, and	Provision, along with	provided at Deadline 8
	without prejudice	is submitting a	commentary on its	[REP8-058] and has
	negotiations with HOTT	commentary of its	amendments, at	responded at Deadline 9
	and the forthcoming	suggested amendments	Deadline 7 [REP7-029].	(Application Document
	changes application.	with an updated version	This version of the PP	Reference 10.2.98).
	The dDCO will be	of the protective	has been included in the	
	updated to reflect the	provisions at Deadline	dDCO submitted at	
	agreed position	7.	Deadline 8.	
	following the			
	conclusion of			
	negotiations.			

Northern Powergrid	Positive discussions ongoing with late stage draft in circulation between the parties. Northern Powergrid have made further comments, which the Applicant is reviewing.	Positive discussions ongoing with late-stage draft in circulation between the parties. The Applicant intends to revert to Northern Powergrid following Deadline 7.	On 19 December 2023 the Applicant provided its comments on Northern Powergrid's most recent proposals as to whether the Protective Provisions should expire following the construction period. The Applicant believes that this principle is agreed, subject to additional wording which would mean that the Protective Provisions continue to have effect should the DCO (for example) be amended in future.	The protective provision has been agreed, as per the wording included in the version of the draft DCO submitted at Deadline 8 [REP8-005].
			Whilst the Applicant awaits Northern Powergrid's substantive response, it believes the parties are very close to reaching agreement. As such, the latest wording (as suggested by the Applicant) has been provided in the Deadline 8 dDCO.	
Anglian Water	The Applicant received comments from	Positive discussions ongoing with late-stage	On 14 December 2023 the Applicant provided	The Applicant has reviewed Anglian Water's Deadline 8

	1 61 1 1 1		
Anglian Water on 13	draft in circulation	an updated draft	submissions [REP8-042]
November 2023. The	between the parties.	Protective Provision, with	regarding the final matter
Applicant will provide a	The Applicant intends to	all matters agreed save	which is outstanding
response to these in	revert to Anglian Water	for paragraph 55; which	between the parties. The
due course.	following Deadline 7.	the Applicant has	Applicant's position is set out
		amended in order to	in the signed SoCG with
		provide that the PP will	Anglian Water submitted at
		expire on the	Deadline 9 (Application
		commencement of	Document 7.11) and in the
		operations. This version	Deadline 8 column of this
		of the Protective	table.
		Provision has been	
		submitted by the	
		Applicant in the Deadline	
		8 dDCO.	
		Whilst Anglian Water has	
		kindly provided the	
		Applicant with a copy of	
		its Deadline 8	
		submission setting out	
		the reasons for its	
		disagreement with the	
		Applicant's draft, the	
		Applicant believes that,	
		as Anglian Water have	
		no live assets within the	
		red line boundary (and	
		only one	
		decommissioned asset),	
		it would not be	
		appropriate to require	

indemnities and
protections in respect of
Anglian Water's assets
in perpetuity. The
Applicant further notes
that:
Anglian Water
have not offered
any positive
arguments to
support the
Protective
Provision
operating in
perpetuity, relying
simply on
precedent. In
contrast, due to
the only Anglian
Water asset within
the red line
boundary being a
decommissioned
pipe, the
Applicant does
not believe that
Anglian Water's
proposals are
reasonably
necessary in
order to protect
their interests;

Whilst the Applicant notes that Anglian Water do not wish to set a precedent, this is also true of the Applicant; and
The Applicant
also notes that other utility
providers, such as Cadent Gas and
Northern
Powergrid have
agreed that the protective
provisions need
not continue in
to provide
adequate
protections for
their interests.
The IERRT development
will have no impact on
Anglian Water's assets,
and the Applicant ABP can see no justification
for the Protective
Provision surviving

			beyond the end of the	
DB Cargo (UK) Limited	As a result of the alternative access agreement having been completed, the Applicant has removed this protective provision form the latest version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5. The Applicant has contacted DB Cargo twice for confirmation of their agreement to this and is awaiting a response.		construction period.	
Network Rail	Applicant is in receipt of an updated draft from Network Rail, which the Applicant is reviewing. The draft received is reflected in the latest version of the dDCO submitted at Deadline 5.	The Applicant is attempting to align the updated draft protective provisions received from Network Rail with Network Rail's asset protection agreement process, before reverting to Network Rail following Deadline 7.	The Applicant is continuing to engage with Network Rail regarding the wording of the Protective Provisions, and has included its latest draft in the Deadline 8 dDCO. The principal outstanding area of difference relates to the need for the Applicant to enter into a Network Rail Asset Protection Agreement in	The protective provision has been agreed. The Applicant intends to submit the final wording to the ExA at Deadline 10, at the same time as dealing with other dDCO matters arising from the ExA's Rule 17 Letter dated 12/01/24.

addition to affording Network Rail the Protective Provisions. The Applicant considers that the comprehensive Protective Provisions encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the I ERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also attempting to remove	r	
Protective Provisions. The Applicant considers that the comprehensive Protective Provisions encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
The Applicant considers that the comprehensive Protective Provisions encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		Network Rail the
that the comprehensive Protective Provisions encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		Protective Provisions.
that the comprehensive Protective Provisions encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		The Applicant considers
encompass the vast majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		Protective Provisions
majority of the terms included in the Asset Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		encompass the vast
Protection Agreement and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		included in the Asset
and, therefore, does not consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		Protection Agreement
consider it appropriate to enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		and, therefore, does not
enter into the Asset Protection Agreement which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
which is not in any case designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		Protection Agreement
designed for the type of development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
development contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
contemplated by the IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
IERRT proposals which do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
do not impact upon Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
Network Rail operations in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
in addition to these protections. The Applicant is also		
protections. The Applicant is also		
Applicant is also		
superfluous drafting from		
the Protective Provisions		
regarding protections for		
Network Rail from		
powers of compulsory		
purchase, as the		
		Applicant is not seeking

North East Lincolnshire Council as Lead Local Flood Authority	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Council. The Applicant is awaiting a response.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Council. The Applicant is awaiting a response.	compulsory acquisition powers in relation to any Network Rail rights, interests, or assets. In September 2023 a revised version of the protective provision was provided to the Lead Local Flood Authority. Despite numerous reminder emails asking for comments, the Applicant has not received any amendments to this draft and, as such, has included this version of the Protective Provisions in the Deadline 8 dDCO.	The Applicant has still not received any comments from the Lead Local Flood Authority and, as such, considers the wording of the Protective Provisions submitted at Deadline 8 [REP8-005] to be final.
Cadent Gas Limited	Following an exchange of correspondence between the parties, differences have narrowed significantly. Cadent have circulated a revised draft, which the Applicant is reviewing.	Positive discussions ongoing with late-stage draft in circulation between the parties. The Applicant intends to revert to Cadent following Deadline 7.	The Applicant and Cadent Gas have made significant progress towards an agreed version of the Protective Provisions but have not yet reached a final agreement. The Deadline 8 dDCO reflects the latest draft as provided by the Applicant to Cadent at Deadline 8.	The Applicant and Cadent Gas are still to reach final agreement on the wording of the Protective Provisions, with the Applicant's latest position sent to Cadent on 15 January 2024. The Applicant understands that Cadent are to make submissions at Deadline 9, following which the Applicant

	will continue discussions
The Applicant is seeking	with Cadent.
to ensure that the scope	
of the Protective	
Provisions reflects the	
fact that Cadent operate	
a single intermediate	
pressure pipeline across	
what is proposed to	
become the Western	
Storage Area. As the	
works in this area (and in	
proximity to the pipeline)	
are to be limited to	
surfacing, drainage and	
lighting works, the	
Applicant is seeking to	
agree provisions which	
afford sufficient	
protections to Cadent	
whilst also being	
proportionate. The	
Applicant is also keen to	
respect the existing legal	
agreements in place	
between the parties,	
whilst affording Cadent	
greater protections with	
regards to the	
development works	
which are to be	
undertaken during the	

			construction period for the IERRT.	
Operators of Electronic Communications Code Networks	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Operators. The Applicant is awaiting a response.	Positive discussions with late-stage draft issued to the Operators. The Applicant is awaiting a response.	In September 2023 a revised version of the protective provision was provided to both BT Openreach and Liberty Global (Virgin). Despite numerous chaser emails asking for comments, the Applicant has not received any amendments from either operator. As such, the Applicant has included the latest version of the Protective Provision as provided to BT Openreach and Liberty Global in the Deadline 8 dDCO.	The Applicant received correspondence from Openreach on 10 January asking for clarifications as to the extent of the works proposed, and clarification as to why it is proposed that the Protective Provision expires following the construction period. The Applicant responded offering a call to clarify the works proposed and stating: <i>"On the reasoning for the Protective Provisions</i> <i>expiring following the end of the construction period, this is because (save for a small section of highway land outside of the Port's East Gate) the land within the red line boundary for the project <i>is entirely in ABP's</i> ownership. As a result, Openreach's apparatus are in place pursuant to existing</i>

	legal agreements between
	Openreach and ABP. Far
	from the protections for
	Openreach's apparatus
	ending, therefore, the
	protections will instead revert
	back to the those provided at
	present; with operations at
	the port returning to
	'business as usual' save for
	the use of the three new
	berths proposed. ABP
	considers that it is
	reasonable for additional
	protections for Openreach's
	apparatus to be in place
	during the construction
	period, but does not agree
	that the indemnities provided
	to Openreach in the
	Protective Provisions should
	then last in perpetuity when
	there is an existing legal
	framework to fall back on."
	The Applicant such a rest
	The Applicant awaits a reply from Openreach, and has
	had no engagement from
	Liberty Global (Virgin).

CLdN	ABP does not believe that protective provisions for CLdN are required. Without prejudice to this position, ABP is considering CLdN's correspondence on this topic with a view to preparing draft protective provisions for CLdN. Such a draft will provide protections which are commensurate with CLdN's potential to be affected by the proposed development.	The Applicant received a revised draft set of protective provisions from CLdN at 6pm on Friday 8 December, immediately prior to Deadline 7. The Applicant will consider this revised draft, and provide an updated version of the protective provisions, along with commentary explaining its amendments, as soon as possible following Deadline 7.	The Applicant has provided a revised wording of this Protective Provision, along with commentary on its amendments, at Deadline 7 [REP7-029] . This version of the PP has been included in the dDCO submitted at Deadline 8.	The Applicant has noted CLdN's comments on the Protective Provisions provided at Deadline 8 [REP8-043] and has responded at Deadline 9 (Application Document Reference 10.2.97).
DFDS	The Applicant has reviewed draft protective provisions received from DFDS and hopes to revert with comments shortly after Deadline 6.	The Applicant provided a revised draft protective provisions on 22 November 2023, and is submitting a commentary of its suggested amendments with an updated version of the protective provisions at Deadline 7.	The Applicant has provided a revised wording of this Protective Provision, along with commentary on its amendments, at Deadline 7 [REP7-029] . This version of the PP has been included in the dDCO submitted at Deadline 8.	The Applicant has noted DFDS's comments on the Protective Provisions provided at Deadline 8 [REP8-045] and has responded at Deadline 9 (Application Document Reference 10.2.99).

North East Lindsey Internal Drainage Board	The Applicant has received the proposed wording for a new protective provision from the Drainage Board, which it is considering.	On 22 December 2023, the Applicant provided comments on the draft wording received from the Drainage Board which, among other things, acted on comments received from the ExA in its Schedule of Proposed Changes to the dDCO [PD-019] . The Applicant has not received any further comments from the Internal Drainage Board and, as such, has provided its latest draft wording in the version of the dDCO submitted at	The Applicant is still yet to receive any comments from the Drainage Board, and the version of the Protective Provision submitted at Deadline 8 [REP8-005] remains unchanged.
		the dDCO submitted at Deadline 8.	